



4.4

Intervention Monitoring & Evaluation Worksheet

Prevention Level:

Goal:

Objective:

Narrative Progress Report:

Interim

Final

Date: DD / MM / YYYY

Use continuation pages as necessary

Narrative Description:

This intervention aims to :

Risks to Achieving Goals:

Plans for Mitigating Risks:

Indicator:

Responsible Person (s):

Activities: Stages of Implementation	Target Completion Date:	Actual Completion Date:	Person Validating: Comments:

Output:

Method of Calculation and Units of Measure:

Numerator:

Number of

Denominator:

Number of

Desired Outcome

Greater than __%

Actual Outcome

Qualitative Indicators:

Frequency of Performance Review/Reporting:
Monthly/Quarterly/Bi-annually/Annually (Circle one)
Report Due Dates:

Comments:

EXAMPLE 1 - Intervention Monitoring & Evaluation

Prevention Level: Tertiary Care - Access to Care - Capacity Building

Goal: Bring more RHD patients into care by improving ARF/RHD Case Identification

Objective: Increase ARF/RHD Case Identification through use of Clinical Algorithm

Narrative Description:

This intervention aims to strengthen non-expert RHD Care at the facility through the introduction of clinical algorithms to empower non-expert healthcare workers to make accurate diagnoses and appropriate referrals for patients with cardiovascular disease.

Risks to Achieving Goals:

Plans for Mitigating Risks:

Indicator: Front line staff are qualified and trained to use an algorithm for identifying a new patient with symptoms suspicious for RHD

Responsible Person (s):

Activities: Stages of Implementation	Target Completion Date:	Actual Completion Date:	
1. Formulation of site-appropriate algorithm for Case ID			
2. Identification of targeted personnel and clinical areas where they work			
3. Development of in-service training module			
4. Delivery of training			
5. Assessment of training			
6. Retrain as necessary			

Output: Train at least 80% of front line staff on the use an algorithm for identifying a new patient with symptoms suspicious for RHD

Method of Calculation and Units of Measure:

Numerator:	Number of front-line staff members trained on algorithm
Denominator:	Number of identified front-line staff members
Desired Outcome:	Greater than 80%
Numerator:	Average post training assessment score
Denominator:	Highest possible post-assessment training score
Desired Outcome:	Greater than 80%

Qualitative Indicators:

Training curriculum has been incorporated into required annual training sessions.

Comments:

Frequency of Performance Review/Reporting:

Monthly/Quarterly/Bi-annually/Annually (Circle one)

Report Due Dates:

Comments:

Narrative Progress Report:

Interim

Final

Date:

DD / MM / YYYY

Use continuation pages as necessary

EXAMPLE 2 - Intervention Monitoring & Evaluation

Prevention Level: Tertiary Care - Access to Care - Capacity Building

Goal: Bring more RHD patients into care by improving ARF/RHD Case Identification

Objective: Increase ARF/RHD Case Identification through Diagnostic Echocardiography

Narrative Description:

This intervention aims to strengthen non-expert RHD Care at the facility through the introduction of focused handheld echocardiography to empower non-expert healthcare workers to make accurate diagnoses and appropriate referrals for patients with cardiovascular disease.

Risks to Achieving Goals:

Plans for Mitigating Risks:

Indicator: Procurement and training on three focused hand-held echocardiography to empower non-expert healthcare workers to make accurate of diagnoses

Responsible Person (s):

Activities: Stages of Implementation	Target Completion Date:	Actual Completion Date:	Person Validating: Comments:
1. Procurement of three HH Echos			
2. Identify personnel and clinical areas where equipment will be utilised			
3. Development of training module			
4. Delivery of training			
5. Assessment of training			
6. Retrain as necessary			

Output: Train at least 80% of the non-expert healthcare workers on the use hand-held echocardiography equipment

Method of Calculation and Units of Measure:

Numerator:	Number of non-expert healthcare workers trained on equipment
Denominator:	Number of identified non-expert health-care workers
Desired Outcome:	Greater than 80%
Numerator:	Average post training assessment score
Denominator:	Highest possible post-assessment training score
Desired Outcome:	Greater than 80%

Qualitative Indicators: Training curriculum has been incorporated into required annual training sessions. HH Echos are incorporated as standard equipment and funding is allocated in the annual budget.

Comments:

Frequency of Performance Review/Reporting:

Monthly/Quarterly/Bi-annually/Annually (Circle one)

Report Due Dates:

Comments:

Narrative Progress Report: Interim Final

Date: DD / MM / YYYY

Use continuation pages as necessary

EXAMPLE 3 Intervention: Monitoring & Evaluation

Prevention Level: Primary Prevention - Outreach and Community Education

Goal: Prevent ARF/RHD sequelae through appropriate identification and treatment of Sore Throat

Objective: Deliver Educational Programmes on RHD and Significance of Sore Throat to Schools

Narrative Description:

This intervention aims to provide education about Sore Throat delivered in the school setting to encourage families and teachers of children at risk of Sore Throat to seek appropriate primary care in an effort to prevent ARF/RHD sequelae.

Risks to Achieving Goals:

Plans for Mitigating Risks:

Indicator: School personnel and learners are aware of the basic natural history and characteristics of RHD and are aware of the significance of untreated sore throats.

Responsible Person (s):

Activities: Stages of Implementation	Target Completion Date:	Actual Completion Date:	Person Validating: Comments:
1. Development of standardised lay presentation regarding RHD for school personnel and learners.			
2. Identify school personnel to give permission for in-school presentation			
3. Delivery of training			
4. Assessment of training			

Output: Complete outreach activities to 80% of the community at risk for Sore Throat

Method of Calculation and Units of Measure:

Numerator: Number of school presentations delivered
Denominator: Number of schools in service catchment area
Desired Outcome: Greater than 80%
Actual Outcome:

Qualitative Indicators:

Community surveys post training indicate increased knowledge and awareness of RHD and the relationship to sore throat.

Comments:

Frequency of Performance Review/Reporting:

Monthly/Quarterly/Bi-annually/Annually (Circle one)

Report Due Dates:

Comments:

Narrative Progress Report: Interim Final

Date: DD / MM / YYYY

Use continuation pages as necessary